

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/507,339 | 03/28/2005 | Shelley Hiron | 7865-171 MIS | 2858 | | |
| 75 | 7590 10/20/2006 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Michael I Stewart | | | WEIER, ANTHONY J | | | |
| Sim & McBurney 6th Floor | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 330 University Avenue | | | . 1761 | | | |
| Toronto Ontario, M5G 1R7 CANADA | | | DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/507,339 | HIRON, SHELLEY | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Anthony Weier | 1761 | | |

| Advicery Action | 10/301,339 HIKON, SHELLET | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Anthony Weier | 1761 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the co | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| . The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 October 2006</u> . A bit the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl | or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4 | 11.37(e)), to avoid dis | missal of the | | | |
| AMENDMENTS The proposed amendment/s) filed after a final rejection | but prior to the data of filing a brief | will not be entered b | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | ecause | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | , | 12 50.01.7, | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | · - | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mnliant Amendment | (PTOL. 324) | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | impliant Amendment | (I TOL-324). | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | |
| 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | | ll be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | vided below or appended. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: none. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>8-12</u> . | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. | | | |
| 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | at does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Ob Bell | | | | |
| | | Anthony Weier Primary Examiner | 10/19/00 | | | |
| | | Art Unit: 1761 | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20061019a

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the inclusion of the recited claims in view of the change in claims to 10/384699 requires the consideration of a new rejection, in particular, a provisional obviousness type double patenting rejection since the claims of 10/384699 appear to be generic to the instant claims as amended. Of course, one remedy for this potential rejection is to submit a terminal disclaimer involving 10/384699. It should be noted, nevertheless, that Applicant's amendment has overcome all other art and double patenting rejections, but the inclusion of the new claims as amended and in conjunction with those of 10/384699 does not reduce all the issues for appeal and actually raises at least one. Therefore, the proposed amendment has not been entered. Also, note that the Terminal Disclaimer submitted 10/4/06 has been approved.